

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,148		03/31/2000	Peter T Fry	80934F-P	1747
1333	7590	09/03/2004		EXAM	INER
PATENT LEGAL STAFF EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY			AKERS, GEOFFREY R		
	E STREE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHES	TER, NY	14650-2201		3625	
				DATE MAILED: 09/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/540,148	FRY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Geoffrey Akers	3625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
Δ SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	NTH(S) EDOM			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 N	lay 2004				
		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the	merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\ell}$	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-17,20-27,30 and 40-76</u> is/are	pending in the application.				
• •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-17,20-27,30,40-76</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC) -152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		ı)-(d) or (f).			
	Certified copies of the priority document		tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		Stage		
	application from the International Burea	•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
Attachmen		∆ □ 1-1 ^	(DTO .440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-	152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/540,148 Page 2

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is issued in reply to applicant's amendment filed 5/30/04.
- 2. Claims 1,11,21,40,50 were further amended. No claims were added. No others were deleted.
- 3. Claims 1-7,10-17,20-27,30,40-76 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-7, 11-17,21-27,40-76 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoekstra(US Pat. No: 6,304,277). The rejections as cited in the Non Final Office Action(Paper #14) are maintained.
- 5. Claims 10,20,30 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Hoekstra in view of Hopkins(US Pat. No: 6,282,462). The rejections as cited in the Non-Final Office Action(Paper #14) are also maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1,11,40 are also rejected under 35 USC 112(2nd) as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how the software function and how it is used. No explanations have been provided of how the software specifically relates to the manipulation of each image or what such manipulation comprises. It is also unclear how and where the high-resolution digital image files are transmitted to after using the software.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,148 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

Claims 21 and 50 recite the limitation "said software". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The software is not adequately defined in terms of its function or manipulations for the reasons stated in the rejection prior. The transmission of information relating to the image inherently comprises information regarding the original high resolution image. Hoekstra teaches the modification of images for commercial and public use and consumption which may include purchase(col 1 line 30). Hoekstra definitely includes a commercial element that being the relationship between the image creator and the photo service provider(col 2 line 17). Hoekstra and the applicant's invention both address image processing and solve similar issues of eliminating the inefficiencies involved in transmitting high resolution data(col 5 line 3). Hopkins, moreover teaches a method for consistent transmission of image data preventing data loss(col 3 line 61). It would have been obvious to modify the system of Hoekstra to provide consistent transmission of image data as taught by Hopkins to maintain quality.

Conclusion

8	. 1	[HIS	ACT	ION	IS I	MADE	FINAL

9. Questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM

Application/Control Number: 09/540,148 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the primary examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687.

August 31,2004

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER